

w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,166	06/25/2001	Chun-Ching Lin	200-0497/24061.330 8185		
42717 HAYNES ANI	7590 03/20/2007 D BOONE, LLP	EXAMINER			
901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100			NGUYEN, MERILYN P		
DALLAS, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2163		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/20/2007	PAPER .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/888,166	LIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Merilyn P. Nguyen	2163	

Advisory Action	09/888,766	LIN ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Merilyn P. Nguyen	2163				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
• •		•				
 THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further composed to the second of the second of the second of the composition of the second of the	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data. Diliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to be within the time period set forth in 3 but prior to the date of filling a brief, ensideration and/or search (see NO bw);	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office of the final rejection, of the final within two months avoid dismissal of the TCFR 41.37(a). will not be entered be TE below);	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, as of the date of the appeal. Since ecause			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	Is to provide a I).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	ALFORD KIT PRIMARY EX	NDRED KAMINER			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 6, drawings and specification require further consideration/search.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's arguments are a rehashing of issues already addressed in the previous action.